the precursor decays by an amount

(81) 
$$du = dp_x/\varrho_0 a_0.$$

Under the assumed conditions, eq. (78) also applies along the path of the precursor. Combining eqs. (78) and (81) yields the relation

(82) 
$$\mathrm{d}p_x/\mathrm{d}t = -F/2 \; .$$

The function F is expected in general to be quite complicated. We can get a qualitative picture of its effect by assuming the form, for compression only,

(83) 
$$F = (p_x^e - p_x^s)/T, \qquad p_x^e > p_x^e,$$

where T = constant. Compression by the precursor is assumed to be elastic, so  $p_x$  of eq. (82) lies on a metastable extension of the elastic compression curve,  $p_x^{\mathfrak{e}}(V)$ . Above the yield point there is a stress  $p_x^{\mathfrak{e}}(V)$  which will finally be reached for the given volume V after a very long time. This is curve AB of Fig. 14 b). According to eqs. (82) and (83), decay of the precursor amplitude,  $p_x \equiv p_x^{\mathfrak{e}}(V)$  continues until  $p_x^{\mathfrak{e}}(V) = p_x^{\mathfrak{e}}(V)$ , which occurs at the static value of the Hugoniot elastic limit. To see the effect more explicitly, note that

(84) 
$$(d/dt)(p_x^e - p_x^s) = (1 - e^2/a^2)(dp_x^e/dt) ,$$

where  $c^2 = K/\varrho$ ,  $a^2 = (K + 2\mu/3)/\varrho$ . If Poisson's ratio,  $\nu$ , is independent of density, so is  $c^2/a^2$ . Then eqs. (82)-(84) can be integrated to yield

(85) 
$$p_x^e(V) - p_x^s(V) = (p_x^e - p_x^s)_0 \exp[-x/x_0],$$

where

$$(86) \hspace{3.1em} x_{\rm 0} = 2 \, T D / (1 - c^2 / a^2) \; . \label{eq:x0}$$

Integrating eq. (84) under the assumption that  $\nu = \text{constant}$  enables us to simplify eq. (85):

$$(87) p_x^{e} - p_{\text{HEL}}^{s} = (p_x^{e} - p_{\text{HEL}}^{s})_0 \exp\left[-x/x_0\right],$$

where  $p_{\text{HEL}}^s$  is the static value of the Hugoniot elastic limit, related to the static yield strength by eq. (47).

Equation (82) was derived on the assumptions that the precursor follows a characteristic and that the energy equation, eq. (3), does not affect the prop-

agation process. A more rigorous expression can be obtained by combining eq. (77) with eqs. (1)-(3) and specializing the result along the shock path [8]:

(88) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{D}p_x}{\mathrm{D}x} = \left(1 - \frac{u}{D}\right) \frac{(D-u)^2 - a^2}{\frac{3}{2}(D-u)^2 + a^2/2} \frac{\partial p_x}{\partial x} - \frac{(D-u)^2}{D} \frac{F'}{\frac{3}{2}(D-u)^2 + a^2/2} ,$$

(89) 
$$F' = (1 - \alpha \Gamma y/2\mu)F.$$

Here the block derivative, D/Dx, refers to differentiation along the shock path,  $\partial p_x/\partial x$  is evaluated immediately behind the precursor front, and F' is a modification to F resulting from the assumption that a fraction  $\alpha$  of plastic work goes into heat. In eq. (89),  $\Gamma$  is the Gruneisen parameter. F' and F differ by less than 10% for metals in which plastic flow occurs.

Under the assumptions that D-u=a and  $\alpha=0$ , eq. (88) reduces to eq. (82).

Considerable effort in recent years has been devoted to attempts to relate the relaxation function F of eq. (75) to the motion and multiplication of dislocations. The basic relation is

(90) 
$$dE^{p}/dt = hNbv = F/2\mu,$$

where N is the number of dislocations per unit area, b is the Burgers vector, h is a numerical constant the order of units, and v is the mean velocity of dislocations. Since  $E_v = 2\varepsilon_1/3$  in uniaxial strain, eq. (90) becomes

(91) 
$$\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_1/\mathrm{d}t = 3hNbv/2 \; .$$

There are various models for multiplication and motion of dislocations. One which is frequently used is due to GILMAN:

$$(92) N = N_{om}(1 + A\varepsilon^p) ,$$

$$(93) v = v_{\text{max}} \exp\left[-D/\tau\right],$$

where

 $N_{om}$  = initial density of mobile dislocations,

 $v_{\text{max}} = \text{maximum dislocation velocity} \sim v_{\text{shear}},$ 

D = drag coefficient,

A = multiplication coefficient,

 $\tau = \text{resolved shear stress} = (p_x - p_y)/2.$